Edward S. Finley, Jr., PLLC 2024 White Oak Rd. Raleigh, NC 27608 919-418-4516 edfinley98@aol.com (N.C. Bar No. 6149)

September 28, 2022

Ms. Shonta Dunston, Chief Clerk North Carolina Utilities Commission Mail Service Center 4325 Raleigh NC 27699 -4300

RE: Docket No. A-41, Sub 21 - Complaint by Village of Bald Head

Dear Ms. Dunston:

In accordance with the Commission's procedural order in this docket we submit herewith for filing the reply testimony of Alan Briggs on behalf of Intervenor, Bald Head Association.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/ Edward S. Finley, Jr.

Edward S. Finley, Jr.
Counsel for Bald Head
Association

cc. Parties of Record

## BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION DOCKET NO. A-41, SUB 21

Reply Testimony of Alan Briggs

On Behalf of Bald Head Association

September 28, 2022

Q. Please summarize your testimony of September 8, 2022.

A.

In my testimony of September 8, 2022 I informed the Commission that rates and services for the essential ferry, tram, barge and parking amenities for Bald Head Island will be affected by the decision reached by the Commission in this docket. I testified that the BHA Board and its constituents have and continue to follow the developments in the docket with great interest. I testified that the purpose of my testimony was to apprise the Commission of this interest and to reserve the right at the appropriate time to convey any conclusions the Board would ask the Commission to reach.

I testified that after the Board concluded that this dispute was of general interest to its Members, the Board took steps to communicate to the Members the actions taking place in connection with this matter. I described the efforts to inform the Members. The Board informed the Members of the May 2022 SharpVue Asset Purchase Agreement with Bald Head Island Limited. I discussed the communications the Board had had with the SharpVue, the Village and Limited representatives.

## Q. Please address the survey conducted by the Board of its Members.

A.

I described to the Commission the efforts undertaken by the Board to obtain views of the Members. In particular I described the survey conducted by the Board and the results of the survey. The purpose of the survey was to inform the Board as to any recommendations it should make to the Commission.

The Members spoke in response to the survey, and 70% of the Members want the NCUC to exercise jurisdiction over the parking lot and barge. I testified that we represent our Members and are here to support the Members. We believe this high percentage desiring regulation is significant. We want the Commission to be aware of the survey results.

Nevertheless, I testified that the Board remained open to monitoring and attempting to follow the positions taken in the docket by other parties in order to ensure that any recommendations that we make to the Commission are well founded. We have read and studied the testimony filed by participants on August 9, 2022 and September 8, 2022. We have been recipients of and have reviewed discovery conducted by others. We have followed and monitored the various pleadings filed with the Commission in this docket. We will review with interest testimony filed by others on September 28, 2022. We will follow the testimony presented at the public hearing beginning on October 10, 2022.

1 2

In addition to asking our Members about whether or not they wanted the parking lot and barge regulated, we also asked our Members for their comments. They submitted their comments. These comments are part of the survey results. These comments will help the Commission understand the concern of our Members- those that wanted regulation, those that did not want regulation and those that did not yet have enough information.

We think these comments are important and helpful in understanding how our Members feel and why. We think the answers of our Members speak for themselves as to what our Members want and why they want the Commission to regulate the parking lot and the barge.

We do understand this Docket 21 is for the most part a matter of law to be decided by the Commission. But public opinion is something the Commission can consider to what extent it finds it relevant and probative consistent with the law and facts. We submit this testimony and the exhibits hereto to the Commission for its consideration.

We are confident the legal issues will be fully briefed by the excellent lawyers for
the Village, Limited, SharpVue and the Public Staff. Our Members are part of the
public and citizens of the Village. We appreciate the work of all of these fine lawyer
to get the facts and law before the Commission so the Commission will be in the bes
position to make the right decision.

As an intervening party we are interested in hearing the actual evidence presented at the hearing and respectfully wish to reserve the right to submit any post-hearing brief and/or proposed order based on consideration of all the admitted evidence.